

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,067	07/11/2003	Jifa Hao	3016429 (17732.6357.003)	4314
	7590 03/03/200 BARCLAY, LLP	EXAMINER		
2000 HSBC PI	AZA	ROSE, KIESHA L		
100 Chestnut S ROCHESTER,	treet NY 14604-2404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/618,067	HAO ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822					

	Kiesha L. Rose	2822	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of axi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	of Canadan blades of New Co.		OTOL 224)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		npliant Amendment (-1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii sabilililea iii a separate, t	inicity med amendmen	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kiesha L. Rose/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2822	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that the Itoh reference does not disclose a highly conductive layer that is a material reacted froma metal and the substrate, such as a silicide. As disclosed in the Itoh reference the layer 73/222 is the highly conductive layer that extends from the first trenches to the second trenches. Itoh discloses that the highly conductive layer 73/222 is made of silicide (Col. 13, lines 59-61). Therefore the Itoh reference does disclose the claimed limitations and can be combined with Hshieh. Therefore the rejection stands.